

## Annex 1: Additional Tables

**Table 1: Population and household count**

	College Park and Old Oak	Shepherds Bush Green	Wormholt and White City	London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham	London	England
All population, count	7,643	10,249	11,997	176,000	7,428,600	50,093,100
All Households, count	3,199	4,926	4,797	75,438	3,015,997	20,451,427

Source: Census, 2001; the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham

**Table 2: Gender**

	College Park and Old Oak	Shepherds Bush Green	Wormholt and White City	London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham	London	England
All males, count	3,702	5,035	5,677	87,900	3,673,400	24,553,900
All males, percentage	48.4	49.1	47.3	49.7	49.4	49.0
All females, count	3,941	5,214	6,320	88,900	3,755,800	25,539,200
All females, percentage	51.6	50.9	52.6	50.3	50.6	51.0

Source: Census, 2001; the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham

**Table 3: Age groups – percentage of total population**

Age in years	College Park and Old Oak (%)	Shepherds Bush Green (%)	Wormholt and White City (%)	London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham (%)	London (%)	England (%)
0-4	5.55		6.78	6.17	6.67	5.96
5-15	13.55		15.95	10.31	13.53	14.2
16-19	4.81		5.78	3.53	4.66	4.9
20-44	43.77		43.42	51.66	42.72	35.31
45-64	19.38		17.49	17.84	20	23.75
65+	12.94		10.68	10.49	12.43	15.89

Source: Census, 2001; the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham

**Table 4: Ethnic group – percentage of total population**

	College Park and Old Oak (%)	Shepherds Bush Green (%)	Wormholt and White City (%)	London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham (%)	London (%)	England (%)
<b>White</b>	65.64	70.46	63.02	77.83	71.15	90.92
<b>White: British</b>	49.5	50.26	47.13	58.04	59.79	86.99
<b>White: Irish</b>	6.28	6.14	5.33	4.83	3.07	1.27
<b>White: Other White</b>	9.87	14.06	10.55	14.95	8.29	2.66
<b>Mixed</b>	4.49	4.93	5.01	3.81	3.15	1.31
<b>Mixed: White and Black Caribbean</b>	1.6	1.63	1.93	1.22	0.99	0.47
<b>Mixed: White and Black African</b>	0.98	0.92	0.87	0.63	0.48	0.16
<b>Mixed: White and Asian</b>	0.72	1.11	1.08	0.97	0.84	0.37
<b>Mixed: Other Mixed</b>	1.19	1.25	1.14	1.00	0.85	0.31
<b>Asian or Asian British</b>	6.07	6.28	5.94	4.44	12.08	4.58
<b>Asian or Asian British: Indian</b>	2.42	2.05	1.68	1.65	6.09	2.09
<b>Asian or Asian British: Pakistani</b>	1.57	2.29	1.29	1.04	1.99	1.44
<b>Asian or Asian British: Bangladeshi</b>	0.39	0.63	1.77	0.61	2.15	0.56
<b>Asian or Asian British: Other Asian</b>	1.69	1.31	1.21	1.14	1.86	0.48
<b>Black or Black British</b>	19.35	15.82	22.91	11.13	10.92	2.30
<b>Black or Black British: Caribbean</b>	10.7	7.75	9.06	5.16	4.79	1.14
<b>Black or Black British: African</b>	6.95	6.19	11.94	4.88	5.28	0.97
<b>Black or Black British: Other Black</b>	1.7	1.88	1.9	1.08	0.84	0.19
<b>Chinese or Other Ethnic Group</b>	4.45	2.52	3.13	2.79	2.69	0.89
<b>Chinese or Other Ethnic Group: Chinese</b>	0.85	0.65	0.63	0.79	1.12	0.45
<b>Chinese or Other Ethnic Group: Other Ethnic Group</b>	3.6	1.86	2.49	2.00	1.58	0.44

Source: Census, 2001; the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham

**Table 5: Household type - percentage of total population**

	College Park and Old Oak (%)	Shepherds Bush Green (%)	Wormholt and White City (%)	London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham (%)	London (%)	England (%)
Married couple household with dependent child(ren)	11.50	8.32	13.05	10.77	16.83	18.55
Married couple household with no dependent child(ren)	12.69	9.97	12.57	12.66	19.97	28.76
Cohabiting couple household with dependent child(ren)	2.69	1.75	2.90	2.01	2.72	3.39
Cohabiting couple household with no dependent child(ren)	4.75	10.07	5.55	9.46	6.67	5.75
Lone parent household with dependent child(ren)	12.29	8.99	16.45	8.36	8.86	7.13
Lone parent household with no dependent child(ren)	6.41	3.49	6.13	3.84	3.96	3.34
One person household	41.70	45.49	33.50	40.28	34.71	30.07
Multi person household: All student	0.53	0.30	0.58	0.57	0.43	0.37
Multi person household: All other	7.44	11.51	9.28	12.05	5.83	2.65

Source: Census, 2001; the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham

**Table 6: Tenure - percentage of people living in households**

	College Park and Old Oak (%)	Shepherds Bush Green (%)	Wormholt and White City (%)	London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham (%)	London (%)	England (%)
Owned: Owns outright	11.05	14.42	12.18	17.24	19.21	24.45
Owned: Owns with a mortgage or loan	19.80	18.92	18.88	23.99	38.22	46.13
Owned: Shared ownership	0.89	1.56	0.73	0.92	0.94	0.64
Social rented: Rented from Council (LA)	19.89	21.23	40.27	19.28	16.65	12.38
Social rented: other social rented	34.11	17.11	12.92	13.44	8.80	5.55
Private rented: Private landlord or letting agency	10.82	23.01	11.25	21.05	13.36	8.01
Private rented: Employer of household member	0.17	0.08	0.00	0.19	0.21	0.30
Private rented: Relative or friend of a household member	0.73	0.73	0.48	1.17	0.60	0.55
Private rented: Other	0.43	0.19	0.09	0.19	0.26	0.31
Living rent free	2.12	2.75	3.21	2.52	1.75	1.69

Source: Census, 2001; the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham

**Table 7: Economic activity - percentage of persons aged 16-74**

	College Park and Old Oak (%)	Shepherds Bush Green (%)	Wormholt and White City (%)	London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham (%)	London (%)	England (%)
Economically active: Employees Part-time	8.12	5.57	7.29	6.02	8.62	11.81
Economically active: Employees Full-time	35.22	45.60	37.06	45.89	42.64	40.81
Economically active: Self-employed	6.44	10.00	7.61	10.31	8.97	8.32
Economically active: unemployed	5.69	5.79	6.77	4.97	4.36	3.35
Economically active: Full time student	3.16	2.38	3.33	2.25	2.96	2.58
Economically inactive: Retired	10.61	7.21	8.79	7.73	9.81	13.54
Economically inactive: Student	9.17	6.61	8.89	7.19	6.57	4.67
Economically inactive: Looking after home / family	7.05	5.26	7.84	6.30	7.17	6.52
Economically inactive: Permanently sick / disabled	6.04	6.40	6.6	4.73	4.57	5.30
Economically inactive: Other	8.51	5.19	5.81	4.62	4.34	3.10

Source: Census, 2001; the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham

**Table 8: Wards within Hammersmith and Fulham showing rank of the most deprived LSOA within ward; the number of LSOAs in each ward and the number located in the 10” and 20% most deprived areas of the country**

Ward Name	Rank of most deprived LSOA in ward (%)	Number of LSOAs in ward	In most deprived 10%	In most deprived 20%
Addison	7.4	7	1	2
Askew	10.7	8	0	4
Avonmore and Brook Green	10.0	8	0	1
<b>College Park and Old Oak</b> <i>Including Old Oak estate</i>	<b>10.8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>
Fulham Broadway	9.2	7	1	2
Fulham Reach	20.9	7	0	0
Hammersmith Broadway	13.1	8	0	2
Munster	27.0	7	0	0
North End	10.1	7	0	2
Palace Riverside	41.4	5	0	0
Parsons Green and Walham	17.7	7	0	1
Ravenscourt Park	19.9	7	0	1
Sands End	24.9	6	0	0
<b>Shepherds Bush Green</b> <i>Including Edward Woods and William Church estates</i>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>
Town	14.4	7	0	1
<b>Wormholt and White City</b> <i>Including White City estate</i>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>

Source: Greater London Authority Data Management and Analysis Group, 2008. London Ward level summary measures for the Indices of Deprivation 2007. DMAG Briefing 2008-22.

**Table 9: Table showing where the four estates rank within the nation and the borough**

Estate	Ward	Ranking within London Borough of Hammersmith (out of 111 LSOAs)	IMD Ranking of LSOA (%)	IMD Ranking of LSOA (out of 32,482)
White City	Wormholt and White City	1	5.9	1,906
White City	Wormholt and White City	2	6.3	2,033
White City	Wormholt and White City	5	8.7	2,835
White City	Wormholt and White City	12	11	3,568
Edward Woods	Shepherds Bush Green	4	7.9	2,553
Old Oak	College Park and Old Oak	21	17.3	5,602
Old Oak	College Park and Old Oak	13	11.8	3,817
William Church Estate	Shepherds Bush Green	37	22.6	7,667

**Table 10: Income score and rank within England of estate LSOAs**

LSOA	Income score	Rank of income score (out of 32,482)	Rank of income score (%)
E01001958 (White City)	0.51	496	1.5%
E01001961 (White City)	0.44	1118	3.4%
E01001957 (White City)	0.44	1219	3.8%
E01001955 (White City)	0.42	1507	4.6%
E01001944 (Edward Woods)	0.41	1561	4.8%
E01001878 (Old Oak)	0.40	1848	5.7%
E01001875 (Old Oak)	0.39	1927	5.9%
E01001940 (William Church)	0.26	6072	18.7%

**Table 11: Proportion of people within the three wards, the borough, and nationally claiming DWP benefits**

	College Park and Old Oak (%)	Wormholt and White City (%)	Shepherds Bush Green (%)	Hammersmith and Fulham (%)	Great Britain (%)
<b>Total claimants</b>	22.4	23.5	18.3	13.9	14.2
Job seekers	4.0	4.1	3.6	2.7	2.4
Incapacity benefits	9.5	9.5	8.8	6.5	7.0
Lone parents	5.8	6.7	3.5	2.9	2.0
Carers	1.3	1.3	0.7	0.6	1.1
Others on income related benefits	0.8	0.9	1.1	0.6	0.5
Disabled	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.6	1.0
Bereaved	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3
<b>Key out of work benefits (job seekers, incapacity benefits, lone parents and others on income related benefits)</b>	20.0	N/A	17	12.7	11.9

Source: NOMIS Ward labour market profiles for College Park and Old Oak, Wormholt and White City and Shepherds Bush Green. Benefits claimants – working age clients for small areas.

NB. The latest figures for Wormholt and White City were available from November 2007 and did not include the key out of work benefits category. The other figures apply to August 2008.

**Table 12: Employment score and rank within England of estate LSOAs**

LSOA	Employment score	Rank of employment score (out of 32,482 with 1 being the most deprived)	Rank of employment score (%)
E01001944 (Edward Woods)	0.25	1172	3.6
E01001955 (White City)	0.20	2816	8.7
E01001958 (White City)	0.20	2907	9.0
E01001957 (White City)	0.19	3245	10.0
E01001961 (White City)	0.15	6004	18.5
E01001875 (Old Oak)	0.15	6212	19.1
E01001878 (Old Oak)	0.14	7705	23.7
E01001940 (William Church)	0.11	11,418	35.2

**Table 13: Proportion of people within the three wards, the borough, and nationally who are economically active**

	College Park and Old Oak (%)	Wormholt and White City (%)	Shepherds Bush Green (%)	Hammersmith and Fulham (%)	Great Britain (%)
<b>All people</b>					
Economically active	65.3	67.9	74.5	74.9	76.0
In employment	58.1	59.3	67.7	69.0	71.6
Employees	51.1	51.1	57.0	58.1	62.6
Self employed	7.1	8.2	10.7	10.9	9.0
Unemployed	10.9	12.7	9.1	7.9	5.8
<b>Males</b>					
Economically active	67.7	73.3	78.3	79.6	81.4
In employment	58.8	62.6	69.6	72.3	76.0
Employees	48.3	51.3	55.6	58.2	63.1
Self employed	10.5	11.3	14.0	14.2	12.9
Unemployed	13.2	14.6	11.1	9.2	6.5
<b>Females</b>					
Economically active	62.7	62.9	70.6	70.3	70.3
In employment	57.5	56.2	65.7	65.6	66.9
Employees	53.9	50.9	58.5	58.0	62.1
Self employed	3.5	5.3	7.3	7.7	4.8
Unemployed	8.3	10.7	6.9	6.6	4.8

**Source:** NOMIS Ward labour market profiles for College Park and Old Oak, Wormholt and White City and Shepherds Bush Green. Employment and unemployment figures for 2001.

**Table 14: Health deprivation and disability score and rank within England of estate LSOAs**

LSOA	Health deprivation and disability score	Rank of health deprivation and disability score (out of 32,482 with 1 being the most deprived)	Rank of health deprivation and disability score (%)
E01001955 (White City)	1.13	3478	10.7
E01001957 (White City)	0.84	5779	17.8
E01001958 (White City)	0.84	5799	17.9
E01001878 (Old Oak)	0.81	6155	19.0
E01001940 (William Church)	0.78	6449	19.9
E01001944 (Edward Woods)	0.73	6912	21.3
E01001875 (Old Oak)	0.67	7577	23.3
E01001961 (White City)	0.61	8194	25.2

**Table 15: Education, skills and training score and rank within England of estate LSOAs**

LSOA	Education, skills and training score	Rank of education, skills and training score (out of 32,482 with 1 being the most deprived)	Rank of education, skills and training score (%)
E01001875 (Old Oak)	31.63	7741	23.8
E01001878 (Old Oak)	29.43	8548	26.3
E01001961 (White City)	25.24	10,414	32.1
E01001958 (White City)	23.08	11,552	35.6
E01001955 (White City)	23.02	11,585	35.7
E01001957 (White City)	22.47	11,866	36.5
E01001944 (Edward Woods)	16.68	15,697	48.3
E01001940 (William Church)	12.40	19,303	59.4

**Table 16: Proportion of people within the three wards, the borough, and nationally with no qualifications, lower level qualifications and higher level qualifications**

	College Park and Old Oak (%)	Wormholt and White City (%)	Shepherds Bush Green (%)	Hammersmith and Fulham (%)	Great Britain (%)
<b>All people</b>					
No qualifications or level unknown	38.8	32.6	23.8	22.0	35.8
Lower level qualifications	35.4	36.3	33.1	32.9	43.9
Higher level qualifications	25.8	31.1	43.1	45.1	20.4
<b>In employment</b>					
No qualifications or level unknown	27.3	20.4	13.2	12.5	25.6
Lower level qualifications	38.1	35.2	30.6	30.1	48.9
Higher level qualifications	34.6	44.5	56.1	57.4	25.5
<b>Unemployed</b>					
No qualifications or level unknown	41.9	35.0	30.0	28.4	38.4
Lower level qualifications	35.3	41.9	42.1	37.6	47.2
Higher level qualifications	22.8	23.1	27.9	34.0	14.5

Source: NOMIS Ward labour market profiles for College Park and Old Oak, Wormholt and White City and Shepherds Bush Green. Qualifications figures for 2001.

In this table, no qualifications means people without any academic, vocational or professional qualifications; lower level qualifications describes qualifications equivalent to levels 1-3 of the National Key Learning Targets (GCSEs, A-levels, NVQ levels 1-3); higher level qualifications refer to levels 4 and above (first degrees, higher degrees, NVQ levels 4-5, HND, HNC and certain professional qualifications).

**Table 17: Barriers to housing and services score and rank within England of estate LSOAs**

LSOA	Barriers to housing and services score	Rank of barriers to housing and services score (out of 32,482 with 1 being the most deprived)	Rank of barriers to housing and services score (%)
E01001961 (White City)	37.93	2951	9.1
E01001958 (White City)	37.75	3026	9.3
E01001957 (White City)	37.39	3149	9.7
E01001944 (Edward Woods)	36.36	3531	10.9
E01001875 (Old Oak)	36.15	3619	11.1
E01001940 (William Church)	32.81	5327	16.4
E01001878 (Old Oak)	30.72	6674	20.6
E01001955 (White City)	30.06	7130	22.0

**Table 18: Crime / disorder score and rank within England of estate LSOAs**

LSOA	Crime / disorder score	Rank of crime / disorder score (out of 32,482 with 1 being the most deprived)	Rank of crime / disorder score (%)
E01001955 (White City)	2.31	67	0.2
E01001961 (White City)	1.69	637	2.0
E01001875 (Old Oak)	1.00	3859	11.9
E01001958 (White City)	0.79	5775	17.8
E01001940 (William Church)	0.20	13,279	40.9
E01001878 (Old Oak)	-0.09	17,564	54.1
E01001944 (Edward Woods)	-0.22	19,414	59.8
E01001957 (White City)	-0.48	23,001	70.8

**Table 19: Living environment score and rank within England of estate LSOAs**

LSOA	Living environment score	Rank of living environment score (out of 32,482 with 1 being the most deprived)	Rank of living environment score (%)
E01001944 (Edward Woods)	56.06	1597	4.9
E01001940 (William Church)	53.18	2023	6.2
E01001958 (White City)	44.84	3766	11.6
E01001875 (Old Oak)	38.62	5522	17.0
E01001955 (White City)	38.46	5580	17.2
E01001957 (White City)	37.44	5913	18.2
E01001961 (White City)	33.15	7387	22.7
E01001878 (Old Oak)	24.33	11,277	34.7

**Table 20: Indices of Deprivation ranking for Islington and Hammersmith and Fulham overall and for extent, local concentration, income and employment**

Indices of Deprivation 2007		Islington	Hammersmith and Fulham
Average deprivation score (Areas)	Rank	8	59
Average deprivation rank (Areas)	Rank	6	38
Extent of deprivation (Areas)	Rank	6	72
Local concentration of deprivation (Areas)	Rank	56	113
Area position on income scale (Areas)	Rank	36	65
Area position on employment scale (Areas)	Rank	39	72
Index of Multiple Deprivation; LA population (Persons)	Count	183,930	170,760
Most deprived LSOA	Rank	1.7%	5.9%
Least deprived LSOA	Rank	48.4%	66.4%

Source: Office for National Statistics, Neighbourhood Statistics.

<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination/LeadHome.do>

**Table 21: Crime statistics for Islington and Hammersmith and Fulham (12 months up to April 2009)**

	Islington	Hammersmith and Fulham	Metropolitan Police Total
<b>Total crimes</b>	29,358	22,997	843,396
<b>Homicide</b>	8	1	151
<b>Violence against the person (total)</b>	5,800	4,972	175,168
<b>Rape</b>	63	62	2,191
<b>Other sexual</b>	206	171	6,617
<b>Robbery (total)</b>	1,094	694	32,518
<b>Robbery (person)</b>	988	653	29,288
<b>Robbery (business)</b>	106	41	3,230
<b>Burglary (total)</b>	3,014	2,239	94,014
<b>Burglary Residential</b>	1,683	1,684	59,472
<b>Burglary Non-residential</b>	1,331	555	34,542
<b>Gun enabled crime</b>	76	56	3,022
<b>Motor vehicle crime</b>	3,279	2,737	106,912
<b>Domestic crime</b>	1,544	1,204	53,305
<b>Racist crime</b>	358	279	9,519
<b>Homophobic crime</b>	89	38	1,147

Source: <http://www.met.police.uk/crimefigures/index.php>

**Table 22: Age groups, 2001 - % of total population**

Age in years	St Peters	Bunhill	Islington	London	England
0-4 years	7	6	6.3	6.67	5.96
5-14 years	11	10	11.1	13.53	14.2
15-19 years	5	5	5.2	4.66	4.9
20-44 years	48	50	49.3	42.72	35.31
45-64 years	18	16	17.8	20	23.75
65 years & over	12	13	10.2	12.43	15.89

Data source: Census, 2001

**Table 23: Ethnic group, 2001 - % total population**

	St Peters	Bunhill	Islington	London	England
White	81.1	76.1	75.3	71.15	90.92
White: British	64.1	59.6	56.8	59.79	86.99
White: Irish	4.7	4.2	5.7	3.07	1.27
White: Other White	12.3	12.3	12.9	8.29	2.66
Mixed	3.2	3.8	4.1	3.15	1.31
Mixed: White & Black Caribbean	0.9	1.1	1.3	0.99	0.47
Mixed: White & Black African	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.48	0.16
Mixed: White & Asian	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.84	0.37
Mixed: Other Mixed	0.9	1.2	1.2	0.85	0.31
Asian or Asian British	4.0	6.3	5.4	12.08	4.58
Asian or Asian British: Indian	1.6	1.9	1.6	6.09	2.09
Asian or Asian British: Pakistani	0.3	0.6	0.5	1.99	1.44
Asian or Asian British: Bangladeshi	1.7	2.9	2.4	2.15	0.56
Asian or Asian British: Other Asian	0.4	0.9	0.8	1.86	0.48
Black or Black British	8.8	10.1	11.9	10.92	2.30
Black or Black British: Caribbean	3.4	2.4	4.9	4.79	1.14
Black or Black British: African	4.7	7.0	6.0	5.28	0.97
Black or Black British: Other Black	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.84	0.19
Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	3.0	3.7	3.3	2.69	0.89
Chinese or Other Ethnic Group: Chinese	1.4	1.9	1.7	1.12	0.45
Chinese or Other Ethnic Group: Other Ethnic Group	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.58	0.44

Note: columns do not total 100% due to sub-grouping of data

**Table 24: Tenure, 2001 - % of people living in households**

	<b>St Peters</b>	<b>Bunhill</b>	<b>Islington</b>	<b>London</b>	<b>England</b>
Owned: Owns outright	11.1	5.7	10.5	19.21	24.45
Owned: Owns with a mortgage or loan	23.4	14.9	22.7	38.22	46.13
Owned: Shared ownership	0.5	0.4	0.9	0.94	0.64
Social rented: Rented from Council (LA)	37.2	43.7	32.1	16.65	12.38
Social rented: other social rented	7.0	14.4	12.2	8.80	5.55
Private rented: Private landlord or letting agency	17.8	17.1	18.6	13.36	8.01
Private rented: Employer of household member	0	0.1	0.2	0.21	0.30
Private rented: Relative or friend of a household member	0.8	0.3	0.8	0.60	0.55
Private rented: Other	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.26	0.31
Living rent free	1.7	2.6	1.8	1.75	1.69

Data source: Census, 2001